

PCT

| REC'D | 28 | JUL | 2000 | |
|-------|----|-----|------|--|
| WIPC |) | | PCT | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference | | | See Notification of Transmittal of International | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2144-104WO | | FOR FURTHER ACT | IAAL | Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) | |
| International | l application No. | International filing date (day | y/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) | |
| PCT/CA9 | 9/00490 | 27/05/1999 | | 27/05/1998 | |
| International B62M19/0 | | r national classification and IPC | | | |
| Applicant APAX VEHICLE DEVELOPMENTS INC. et al. | | | | | |
| | This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. | | | | |
| 2. This R | This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. | | | | |
| b€ | ☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). | | | | |
| These | These annexes consist of a total of sheets. | | | | |
| 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: | | | | | |
| 1 | Basis of the report | | | | |
| 11 | ☐ Priority | | | | |
| 111 | ☑ Non-establishment | of opinion with regard to nove | elty, inventive step | and industrial applicability | |
| IV | ☐ Lack of unity of inve | ention | | | |
| V | | nt under Article 35(2) with reg nations suporting such statem | | entive step or industrial applicability; | |
| VI | ☐ Certain documents | cited | | | |
| VII | | ne international application | | | |
| VIII | ☑ Certain observation | s on the international applica | tion | | |
| Date of submission of the demand | | [| Date of completion of | of this report | |
| 22/12/1999 | | 25.07.2000 | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: | | ionat | Authorized officer | Super MEDICA MICHAEL STATE STA | |
| <u>@</u>)) | European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52 | 3656 epmu d | Wochinz, R | Assas Salar | |
| Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 | | | Telephone No. +49 89 | 2399 2129 | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/CA99/00490

I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):

| | | • | • | | | |
|----|------|----------------------|--|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Des | cription, pages: | | | | |
| | 1-20 |) | as originally filed | | | |
| | Clai | ms, No.: | | | | |
| | | · | | | | |
| | 1-33 | 3 | as received on | 22/12/1999 | with letter of | 22/12/1999 |
| | Dra | wings, sheets: | | | | |
| | 1/8- | 8/8 | as originally filed | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2. | The | amendments have | e resulted in the cancellation of: | | | |
| | | the description, | pages: | | | |
| | | the claims, | Nos.: | | | |
| | | the drawings, | sheets: | | | |
| 3. | | | een established as if (some of) t beyond the disclosure as filed (l | | nts had not been made | e, since they have been |
| 4. | Add | litional observation | ns, if necessary: | | | |
| | | | of opinion with regard to nove | | | |
| | | | ne claimed invention appears to cable have not been examined in | | nvolve an inventive ste | ep (to be non-obvious), |
| | | the entire internat | tional application. | | | |
| | × | claims Nos. 1-33. | | | | |
| be | caus | se: | | | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/CA99/00490

| | the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (<i>specify</i>): |
|---------|--|
| ⊠ | the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1-33 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): |
| | see separate sheet |
| | the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. |
| × | no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 1, 7, 21, 30, 33. |
| | |
| VII. Ce | ertain defects in the international application |
| The fo | llowing defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: |
| see | e separate sheet |
| VIII. C | ertain observations on the international application |
| | llowing observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the are fully supported by the description, are made: |
| see | e separate sheet |

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

SECTION I:

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: DE-A-4335494 D2: WO-A-91/17913

SECTION III:

Due to the clarity reasons mentioned in Section VIII, no examination of the claims with respect to Articles 33(1)-(4) PCT could be carried out.

SECTION VII:

- 1. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 and D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.
- 2. The independent claims (claims 1, 7, 21, 30 and 33) are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 3. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

SECTION VIII:

Although claims 1, 7, 21, 30 and 33 have been drafted as separate independent 1. claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ

from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought or in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection.

Hence, claims 1, 7, 21, 30 and 33 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

2. Various features, which were only included in the description but not in the claims as originally filed, have been included in the amended independent claims as filed with the letter of Dec. 22, 1999. The newly introduced features also result in a new inventive concept of the independent claims. These independent claims are therefore directed to subject-matter which has not been searched.